UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/552,298 | 06/12/2006 | Gordon N. Gill 0 | 00015-041US1/2003-061-1MI 6198 | |
| 26138 Joseph R. Bake | 7590 08/27/200 r, APC | 9 | EXAMINER | |
| Gavrilovich, Do | odd & Lindsey LLP | | SWOPE, SHERIDAN | |
| San Diego, CA | 'illage Drive, Suite 750 92122 |) | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| - | | | 1652 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/27/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/552,298 | GILL ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| SHERIDAN SWOPE | 1652 | |

| | SHERIDAN SWOPE | 1652 | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>21 August 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed waternoon. | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l | out prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered be | cause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further col | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | ne issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (l | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al | | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). | owasie ii dasiiiitted iii a deparate, t | aniery med amendmen | it danieding the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>5-10</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>11-42</u> . | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /SHERIDAN SWOPE/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 1652 | |

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Rejection of Claim 2 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph for reciting sequences by genbank numbers.

Amendment of Claims 1 and 2 requires additional searching and consideration.